	Case 1:25-cv-00445-JLT-SAB Do	ocument 6	Filed 07/17/25	Page 1 of 2	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	JOHNNY LEE BRIGGS,	C	Case No. 1:25-cv-04	45 JLT SAB (HC)	
12	Petitioner,		ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS, DISMISSING		
13	V.	P	PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS AS AN UNAUTHORIZED		
14	HEATHER SHIRLEY,	S	SUCCESSIVE PETITION, AND DIRECTING THE CLERK OF COURT TO CLOSE THE	TION, AND DIRECTING	
15	Respondent.		CASE	JOKI TO CLOSE THE	
16		(1	Doc. 5)		
17	Johnny Lee Briggs is a state prisoner, proceeding with a petition for writ of habeas corpus				
18	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The magistrate judge performed a preliminary review pursuant to				
19	Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases and found "the instant petition is 'second or				
20	successive' under § 2244(b)" because "Petitioner previously filed a federal habeas petition in this				
21	Court challenging the same conviction, and that petition was denied on the merits." (Doc. 5 at 2,				
22	citing <i>Briggs v. Schuyler</i> , Case No. 1:24-cv-00310-KES-SKO.) Because Petitioner did not obtain				
23	leave from the Ninth Circuit to file a successive petition, the magistrate judge determined this				
24	Court lacks jurisdiction. (Id.) Therefore, the magistrate judge recommended the Court dismiss				
25	the petition. (<i>Id</i> .)				
26	The Court served the Findings and Recommendations on Petitioner and notified him that				
27	any objections were due within 30 days. (Doc. 5 at 3.) The Court advised him that the "failure to				
28	file any objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's				

	Case 1:25-cv-00445-JLT-SAB Document 6 Filed 07/17/25 Page 2 of 2				
1	order." (Id., citing Wilkerson v. Wheeler, 772 F.3d 834, 838-39 (9th Cir. 2014).) Petitioner did				
2	not file objections, and the time to do so has passed.				
3	According to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), this Court performed a <i>de novo</i> review of this case				
4	Having carefully reviewed the matter, the Court concludes the Findings and Recommendations				
5	are supported by the record and proper analysis. Thus, the Court ORDERS :				
6	1. The Findings and Recommendations issued on May 28, 2025 (Doc. 5) are				
7	ADOPTED in full.				
8	2. The petition for writ of habeas corpus is DISMISSED without prejudice as an				
9	unauthorized successive petition.				
10	3. The Clerk of Court is directed to enter judgment and close the case.				
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.				
12	•				
13	Dated: July 16, 2025 United States District Judge				
14					
15					
16 17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					